



View to Niagara Falls, by Dean G. Loumbas

Inside This Issue

Notices
Bench And Bar Directory Corrections 5
2018 Paralegal Day
Proclamation7
Clerk Certificates

From the New Mexico Court of Appeals
2018-NMCA-045, A-l-CA-35545: State v. Stejskal12
2018-NMCA-046, A-1-CA-36351: Reina v. LIN Television Corp15



On behalf of President Wesley O. Pool and the entire Board of Bar Commissioners, the State Bar of New Mexico would like to thank all attendees for a fantastic 2018 Annual Meeting!

We would also like to thank all of our sponsors, exhibitors, silent auction donors and CLE speakers for helping us put together one of our best events yet.

See you next year!

Aug. 1–3, 2019 Hotel Albuquerque at Old Town and Hotel Chaco





Officers, Board of Bar Commissioners Wesley O. Pool, President Gerald G. Dixon, President-elect Ernestina R. Cruz, Secretary Treasurer Scotty A. Holloman, Immediate Past President

Board of Editors

Gabrielle Dorian, Chair Curtis G. Hayes Anne E. Minard Andrew Sefzik Nancy Vincent

Taylor V. Bui C. James Kalm Matthew Ramirez Michael Sievers Carolyn A. Wolf

State Bar Staff

Executive Director Richard Spinello Director of Communications Evann Kleinschmidt 505-797-6087 • notices@nmbar.org Graphic Designer Julie Schwartz jschwartz@nmbar.org Account Executive Marcia C. Ulibarri 505-797-6058 • mulibarri@nmbar.org Communications Assistant Jaime Hernandez 505-797-6040 • jhernandez@nmbar.org Digital Print Center Manager Brian Sanchez Assistant Michael Rizzo

©2018, State Bar of New Mexico. No part of this publication may be reprinted or otherwise reproduced without the publisher's written permission. The Bar Bulletin has the authority to edit letters and materials submitted for publication. Publishing and editorial decisions are based on the quality of writing, the timeliness of the article, and the potential interest to readers. Appearance of an article, editorial, feature, column, advertisement or photograph in the Bar Bulletin does not constitute an endorsement by the Bar Bulletin or the State Bar of New Mexico. The views expressed are those of the authors, who are solely responsible for the accuracy of their citations and quotations. State Bar members receive the Bar Bulletin as part of their annual dues. The Bar Bulletin is available at the subscription rate of \$125 per year and is available online at www.nmbar.org.

The *Bar Bulletin* (ISSN 1062-6611) is published weekly by the State Bar of New Mexico, 5121 Masthead NE, Albuquerque, NM 87109-4367. Periodicals postage paid at Albuquerque, NM. Postmaster: Send address changes to *Bar Bulletin*, PO Box 92860, Albuquerque, NM 87199-2860.

505-797-6000 • 800-876-6227 • Fax: 505-828-3765 address@nmbar.org • www.nmbar.org

August 22, 2018 • Volume 57, No. 34

Table of Contents

Notices	4
Court of Appeals Opinions List	6
Calendar of Continuing Legal Education	
Clerk Certificates	
Rule Making Activity Report	11
Opinions	
Exam the New Mexico Court of Appendic	

From the New Mexico Court of Appeals

2018-NMCA-045, A-1-CA-35545: State v. Stejskal	12
2018-NMCA-046, A-1-CA-36351: Reina v. LIN Television Corp	15
Advertising	18

Meetings

August

22 NREEL Section Board Noon, teleconference

24 Immigration Law Section Board Noon, teleconference

28 Intellectual Property Law Section Board Noon, Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

30

Trial Practice Law Section Board Noon, The Spence Law Firm

September

4 Health Law Section Board 9 a.m., teleconference

5

Employment and Labor Law Section Board Noon, State Bar Center

Workshops and Legal Clinics

September

4 Common Legal Issues for Senior Citizens Workshop Presentation 10–11:15 a.m., Alamo Senior Center, Alamogordo, 1-800-876-6657

5

Common Legal Issues for Senior Citizens Workshop Presentation 10–11:15 a.m., Deming Senior Center, Deming, 1-800-876-6657

5

Divorce Options Workshop 6–8 p.m., State Bar Center, Albuquerque, 505-797-6022

5 Civil Legal Clinic 10 a.m.–1 p.m., Second Judicial District Court, Albuquerque, 1-877-266-9861

6 Common Legal Issues for Senior Citizens Workshop Presentation 10–11:15 a.m., Munson Senior Center, Las Cruces, 1-800-876-6657

About Cover Image and Artist: Dean Loumbas is a speech-language pathologist residing and working in San Francisco. His paintings have been accepted and shown in regional, national and international juried exhibitions as well as in juried museum shows. Loumbas' paintings have been published as cover art for the *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*, the *Journal of Academic Medicine* and the *Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. His current work redefines the solid form by presenting geometric shapes in close relationships which create horizons and abstract'landscapes' that takes the viewer on multiple journeys through numerous visual and spatial transformations. For more information and additional work, email dlou33@cs.com.

COURT NEWS New Mexico Supreme Court Supreme Court Law Library Hours and Information

The Supreme Court Law Library is open to anyone in the legal community or public at large seeking legal information. The Library has a comprehensive legal research collection of print and online resources, and a staff of professional librarians is available to assist. Search the online catalog at https://n10045.eos-intl.net/N10045/ OPAC/Index.aspx. Call 505-827-4850, Click https://lawlibrary.nmcourts.gov or email libref@nmcourts.gov for more information. Visit the Law Library at the Supreme Court Building, 237 Don Gaspar, Santa Fe, NM 87501. The Library is open Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m. Reference and circulation is open Monday-Friday 8 a.m.-4:45 p.m.

Second Judicial District Court Destruction of Tapes

In accordance with 1.17.230.502 NMAC, taped proceedings on domestic matters cases in the range of cases filed in 1971-1999 will be destroyed. To review a comprehensive list of case numbers and party names or attorneys who have cases with proceedings on tape and wish to have duplicates made should verify tape information with the Special Services Division 505-841-6717 from 8 a.m.-5 p.m. Mon.-Fri. The aforementioned tapes will be destroyed after Oct. 13.

Twelfth Judicial District Court Announcements

The Twelfth Judicial District Court would like to extend an invitation to anyone who would like to electronically receive Court announcements and newsletters. To be added to the email distribution list, submit request to aladref@nmcourts. gov.

Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court Court Closure Notice

Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court will be closed from 11 a.m.-5 p.m. on Aug. 24 for the Court's annual employee conference. Misdemeanor Custody Arraignments will commence at 8:30 a.m. and will be immediately followed by Felony First Appearances. Traffic Arraignments and

Professionalism Tip

With respect to the courts and other tribunals:

I will voluntarily exchange information and work on a plan for discovery as early as possible.

Preliminary Hearings will not be held that day. The outside Bonding Window will be open from 11 a.m.-5 p.m. for the filing of emergency motions and for posting bonds. The conference is sponsored by the New Mexico Judicial Education Center and paid for by fees collected by state courts.

STATE BAR NEWS Appellate Practice Section Court of Appeals Candidate Forum

The Appellate Practice Section will host a Candidate Forum for the eight candidates running for the New Mexico Court of Appeals this November. Save the date for 4-6 p.m., Oct. 18, at the State Bar Center in Albuquerque.

Committee on Women and the Legal Profession Aaron Wolf Honored with Justice Pamela B. Minzner Outstanding Advocacy for Women Award

Join the Committee on Women and the Legal Profession for the presentation of the 2017 Justice Pamela B. Minzner Outstanding Advocacy for Women Award to Aaron Wolf for his work providing legal assistance to women who are underrepresented or under served and for his egalitarian approach towards working with women colleagues. The award reception will be held from 5:30-7:30 p.m., Aug. 30, at the Albuquerque Country Club. Hors d'oeuvres will be provided and a cash bar will be available. R.S.V.P.s are appreciated. Contact Committee Co-chair Quiana Salazar-King at salazar-king@law.unm. edu.

New Mexico Judges and Lawyers Assistance Program Attorney Support Groups

• Sept. 10, 5:30 p.m.

UNM School of Law, 1117 Stanford NE, Albuquerque, King Room in the Law Library (Group meets on the second Monday of the month.) Teleconference participation is available. Dial 1-866-640-4044 and enter code 7976003#.

- Sept 17, 5:30 p.m. UNM School of Law, 1117 Stanford NE, Albuquerque, King Room in the Law Library (Group meets the third Monday of the month.) Teleconference participation is available. Dial 1-866-640-4044 and enter code 7976003#.
- Oct. 1, 5:30 p.m. First United Methodist Church, 4th and Lead SW, Albuquerque (The group normally meets the first Monday of the month but will skip September due to the Labor Day holiday.)

For more information, contact Latisha Frederick at 505-948-5023 or 505-453-9030 or Bill Stratvert at 505-242-6845.

UNM SCHOOL OF LAW Law Library Summer 2018 Hours

Building and Circulation

8 a.m.–8 p.m.
8 a.m.–6 p.m.
10 a.m.–6 p.m.
noon–6 p.m.
9 a.m6 p.m.

OTHER BARS New Mexico Black Lawyers Association

Annual Poolside Brunch

The New Mexico Black Lawyers Association invites members to attend its annual poolside brunch on Aug. 25, 11 a.m.-2 p.m. at 1605 Los Alamos Ave. SW, Albuquerque, N.M., 87104. Join NMBLA for food, drinks and fun! Tickets are only \$35 and can be purchased on the New Mexico Black Lawyers Association Facebook page or by emailing nmblacklawyers@gmail.com. Each brunch ticket comes with an entry into our raffle for \$500. There will only be 100 tickets sold, act fast. NMBLA also accepting sponsorships for this event. For information about sponsorships, email nmblacklawyers@gmail.com.

OTHER NEWS Workers' Compensation Administration Judicial Reappointment

The director of the Workers' Compensation Administration, Darin A. Childers, is considering the reappointment of Judge Reginald "Reg" Woodard to a five-year term pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 52-5-2 (2004). Judge Woodard's term expires on Nov. 24. Anyone who wants to submit written comments concerning Judge Woodard's performance may do so until 5 p.m. on Aug. 31. All written comments submitted per this notice shall remain confidential. Comments may be addressed to WCA Director Darin A. Childers, PO Box 27198, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-7198 or faxed to 505-841-6813.

New Mexico Commission on Access to Justice

The next meeting of the Commission On Access to Justice is at Noon-4 p.m. on Sept. 7, at the State Bar of New Mexico. Commission goals include expanding resources for civil legal assistance to New Mexicans living in poverty, increasing public awareness, and encouraging and supporting pro bono work by attorneys. Interested parties from the private bar and the public are welcome to attend. More information about the Commission is available at www.accesstojustice.nmcourts. gov.

Enivironmental Law Institute 27th Annual Eastern Boot Camp on Environmental Law

Join ELI for a stimulating three-day immersion in environmental law at Eastern Boot Camp. Designed for both new and seasoned professionals, this intensive course explores the substance and practice of environmental law. The faculty members are highly respected practitioners who bring environmental law, practice, and emerging issues to life through concrete examples, cases and practice concerns in this three-day intensive course for ELI members. The Boot Camp is a great deal, offering up to 20 hours of CLE credit for \$1,100 or less, with special discounts

CORRECTIONS TO THE 2018–2019 BENCH AND BAR DIRECTORY

Active Members

Bishop, Larry K.575-964-2261 1601 N Turner St #209 88240-4309 PO Box 494 Hobbs NM 88241-0494 Bishoplaw123@outlook.com

Hayes, Michal M.505-469-4140 NM Aging and Long-Term Services Department PO Box 27118 Santa Fe NM 87502-0118 F 505-476-4750 michal.hayes@state.nm.us

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

GUADALUPE, MORA AND SAN MIGUEL COUNTIES

Division I Chief Judge Gerald E. Baca 505-425-7131 F 505-425-6307

Division III Judge Matthew J. Sandoval 505-425-9352 F 505-425-9457

Court Executive Officer Robert Duran 505-425-7281 x11 F 505-454-8611

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

QUAY, HARDING AND DEBACA COUNTIES

QUAY COUNTY (#1010) 300 S 3rd Street PO Box 1067 Tucumcari NM 88401 575-461-2764 F 575-461-4498 tucddiv1proposedtxt@nmcourts.gov

FEDERAL COURTS

United States Bankruptcy Court District of New Mexico www.nmb.uscourts.gov/

Pete V. Domenici U.S. Courthouse 333 Lomas Boulevard NW, Suite 360 Albuquerque, NM 87102

Chief Judge Robert H. Jacobvitz 505-600-4650

Judge David T. Thuma 505-600-4640

Court Clerk Lana Merewether 505-415-7999 or 1-866-291-6805

Note: Information for members is current as of April 16, 2018. Visit www.nmbar.org/FindAnAttorney for the most up-to-date information. To submit a correction, contact Pam Zimmer, pzimmer@nmbar.org.

provided to government, academic, public interest employees and students. Designed originally for attorneys, the course is highly useful for environmental professionals such as consultants, environmental managers, policy and advocacy experts, paralegals and technicians seeking deeper knowledge of environmental law. The registration deadline is Oct. 19. Visit https://www.eli.org/boot-camp/easternbootcamp-environmental-law for more details.

Opinions

As Updated by the Clerk of the New Mexico Court of Appeals

Mark Reynolds, Chief Clerk New Mexico Court of Appeals PO Box 2008 • Santa Fe, NM 87504-2008 • 505-827-4925

Effective August 10, 2018

A-1-CA-34545	State v. E Chacon	Affirm	08/06/2018
UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS			
A-1-CA-35570	D Welch v. J Welch	Affirm	08/06/2018
A-1-CA-35579	State v. S Curiel	Affirm/Reverse/Remand	08/06/2018
A-1-CA-36771	State v. D Saenz	Affirm	08/06/2018
A-1-CA-35487	I Campbell v. J Lieb	Affirm	08/07/2018
A-1-CA-35755	PNC v. S Valdez	Affirm/Remand	08/07/2018
A-1-CA-35298	Living Cross v. Valencia Co	Dismiss	08/08/2018
A-1-CA-36867	State v. H Hudson	Affirm	08/08/2018
A-1-CA-34973	State v. F Lajeunesse	Affirm/Reverse/Remand	08/09/2018
A-1-CA-35478	D Morales-Murill v. Las Cruces	Affirm	08/09/2018
A-1-CA-35558	State v. D Rodriguez	Reverse	08/09/2018

Slip Opinions for Published Opinions may be read on the Court's website: http://coa.nmcourts.gov/documents/index.htm

PUBLISHED OPINIONS



STATE OF NEW MEXICO EXECUTIVE OFFICE SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

voclamation

WHEREAS, PARALEGALS PROVIDE A VITAL LINK BETWEEN LAWYERS AND THE CLIENTS THEY REPRESENT; AND

WHEREAS, PARALEGALS MAKE INVALUABLE CONTRIBUTIONS THROUGH THE DRAFTING AND ANALYSIS OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS, CASE PLANNING, RESEARCH, CLIENT INTERVIEWS, AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF LEGAL PLEADINGS; AND

WHEREAS, DUE TO THE RAPIDLY EVOLVING NATURE OF OUR LEGAL SYSTEM, THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF NEW MEXICO'S PARALEGALS ARE CONSTANTLY GROWING AND EXPANDING, INCLUDING PROVIDING PRO-BONO SERVICES TO THE UNDERSERVED; AND

WHEREAS, THE PARALEGAL DIVISON OF THE STATE BAR OF NEW MEXICO, WHICH WAS CREATED IN 1995, REPRESENTS THE PARALEGAL PROFESSION AND WORKS TOWARD ENHANCING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT; AND

WHEREAS, THE GOALS OF THE PARALEGAL DIVISION INCLUDE PROVIDING EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION TO ACCOMMODATE GROWTH, AND DEVELOPMENT OF PARALEGALS THROUGH EDUCATION; AND

WHEREAS, THE PARALEGAL DIVISION SUPPORTS THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL SERVICES IN AN ECONOMIC AND EFFICIENT MANNER;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, SUSANA MARTINEZ, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AUGUST 26TH, 2018, AS

"PARALEGAL DAY"

THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO.

SIGNED AT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE THIS 31ST DAY OF JULY, 2018. ATTEST:

Maggie Inlows Oli

WITNESS MY HAND AND THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO.

MAGGIE TOULOUSE OLIVER SECRETARY OF STATE

SUSANA MA GOVERNOR

August

- 23-24 11th Annual Legal Service Providers Conference: Poverty and the Law 10.0 G, 2.0 EP Live Seminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 24 Advanced Google Search for Lawyers 1.0 G Live Webinar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 24 Women's Leadership Summit 5.0 G Live Seminar, Albuquerque New Mexico Society of CPAs 505-246-1699
- 28 Construction Contracts: Drafting Issues, Spotting Red Flags and Allocating Risk, Part 1 1.0 G Teleseminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

- 29 Construction Contracts: Drafting Issues, Spotting Red Flags and Allocating Risk, Part 2 1.0 G Teleseminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 29 The Exclusive Rights (and Revenue) You Get With Music 1.0 G Live Webinar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 29 2017 Real Property Institute 6.0 G, 1.0 EP Live Replay, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 29 New Mexico Liquor Law for 2017 and Beyond
 3.5 G
 Live Replay, Albuquerque
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF

www.nmbar.org

29 Risky Business: Avoiding Discrimination When Completing the Form I-9 or E-Verify Process 1.5 G Live Replay, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

31

The Ethical Issues Representing a Band-Using the Beatles 1.0 EP Live Webinar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

September

- 5 Choice of Entity for Nonprofits & Obtaining Tax Exempt Status, Part

 1
 1.0 G
 Teleseminar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 6 Choice of Entity for Nonprofits & Obtaining Tax Exempt Status, Part
 2
 1.0 G
 Teleseminar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 6 Attorney Orientation and the Ethics of Pro Bono 2.0 EP Live Seminar, Albuquerque New Mexico Legal Aid 505-814-6719
- 6 Microsoft Word's Styles: A Guide for Lawyers

 1.0 G
 Live Webinar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 2018 Family Law Institute: Hot Topics in Family Law (Friday)
 5.0 G, 1.5 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 7-8 2018 Family Law Institute: Hot Topics in Family Law (Both Days) 11.0 G, 1.5 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

Legal Education.

5 Choice of Entity for Nonprofits & Obtaining Tax Exempt Status, Part 11
1.0 G Teleseminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
6 Choice of Entity for Nonprofits & 12 Obtaining Tax Exempt Status, Part

2 1.0 G Teleseminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

- 6 Attorney Orientation and the Ethics of Pro Bono 2.0 EP Live Seminar, Albuquerque New Mexico Legal Aid 505-814-6719
- 6 Microsoft Word's Styles: A Guide for Lawyers

 1.0 G
 Live Webinar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 2018 Family Law Institute: Hot Topics in Family Law (Friday)
 5.0 G, 1.5 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 7-8 2018 Family Law Institute: Hot Topics in Family Law (Both Days) 11.0 G, 1.5 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 8 2018 Family Law Institute: Hot Topics in Family (Law Saturday) 6.0 G Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- Planning with Single Member, LLCs, Part 1
 1.0 G
 Teleseminar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org

- Ethics Issues of Moving Your Practice Into the Cloud 1.0 EP Live Webinar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- Planning with Single Member, LLCs, Part 1
 1.0 G
 Teleseminar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 12 Boundary Issues and Easement Law 5.0 G, 1.0 EP Live Seminar, Albuquerque NBI, Inc. www.nbi-sems.com

 How to Practice Series: Civil Litigation, Pt II – Taking and Defending Depositions
 4.5 G, 2.0 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

- 29th Annual Appellate Practice Institute (Full Day)
 5.5 G, 1.0 EP Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- How to Comply with Disciplinary Board Rule 17-204: Basics of Trust Accounting

 0 EP
 Webcast/Live Seminar, Albuquerque New Mexico Legal Aid

 505-814-6719
- Income and Fiduciary Tax Issues for Estate Planners, Part 1
 1.0 G
 Teleseminar
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
- 20 Income and Fiduciary Tax Issues for Estate Planners, Part 2 1.0 G Teleseminar Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

- 20 Military Retired Pay Primer 2.0 G, 1.0 EP Live Seminar, Albuquerque FAMlaw LLC www.famlawseminars.com
- 20 The Lifecycle of a Trial, from a Technology Perspective (2017) 4.3 G, 1.0 EP Live Replay, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 20 2017 ECL Solo and Small Business Bootcamp Parts I and II (2017) 3.4 G, 2.7 EP Live Replay, Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- Bankruptcy Law: The New Chapter 13 Plan (2017)
 3.1 G
 Live Replay, Albuquerque
 Center for Legal Education of NMSBF
 www.nmbar.org
 - 2018 Annual Tax Symposium (Full

21

Day) 6.0 G, 1.0 EP Webcast/Live Seminar Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org

- 21 2018 Annual Tax Symposium -Morning Session: Federal and State Tax Updates 3.0 G Webcast/Live Seminar Albuquerque Center for Legal Education of NMSBF www.nmbar.org
- 21 2018 Annual Tax Symposium -Afternoon Session: Tax Law Special Topics 3.0 G, 1.0 EP Webcast/Live Seminar

Clerk's Certificates

From the Clerk of the New Mexico Supreme Court

Joey D. Moya, Chief Clerk New Mexico Supreme Court PO Box 848 • Santa Fe, NM 87504-0848 • (505) 827-4860

IN MEMORIAM

As of April 22, 2018: **Patricia Ann Bradley** 1212 Pennsylvania Street, NE Albuquerque, NM 87110

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF ADMISSION

On July 31, 2018: **Spencer S. Chaffin** BC Counselors at Law, PLLC 1701 West NW Hwy., 1st Floor Grapevine, TX 76051 972-584-9668 972-584-1599 (fax) schaffin@bccounselorsatlaw. com

On August 7,2018 : **Raymond Leslie Gifford** Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP 1755 Blake Street, Suite 470 Denver, CO 80202 303-626-2350 303-626-2351 (fax) rgifford@wbklaw.com

On July 31, 2018: **Mason William Herring** Herring Law Firm 2727 Allen Parkway, Suite 1150 Houston, TX 77019 832-500-3170 832-500-3172 (fax) mherring @herringlawfirm.com

On August 7, 2018: Shezad Malik Dr. Shezad Malik Law Firm 175 Miron Drive Southlake, TX 76092 888-210-9693 (phone & fax) malik2law@yahoo.com On July 31: Emma Lehner Mamaluy Arizona State Board of Nursing 1740 W. Adams Street, Suite 2000 Phoenix, AZ 85007 602-771-7844 602-771-7884 (fax)

emamaluy@azbn.gov

On August 7: **Charles Clayton Miller III** Miller Weisbrod LLP 11551 Forest Central Drive, Suite 300 Dallas, TX 75243 214-987-0005 214-987-2545 (fax) cmiller@millerweisbrod.com

On August 7, 2018: **Kyle C. Simpson** Simpson & Gold, LLP 25331 IH 10 W., Suite 207 San Antonio, TX 78257 210-222-1200 210-579-9000 (fax) simpsonlawnm@gmail.com

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF NAME CHANGE

As of August 3, 2018: Geraldine Garduno F/K/A Geraldine Gonzales N.M. Public Regulation Commission PO Box 1269 1120 Paseo de Peralta (87501) Santa Fe, NM 87504 505-827-6981 505-827-4155 (fax) geraldine.garduno @state.nm.us As of July 26, 2018: Jessica Noble F/K/A Jessica Sweeney 508 Hexton Hill Road Silver Spring, MD 20904 813-966-3032 sweeney0115@gmail.com

As of July 31, 2018: **Katherine R. Stout F/K/A Katherine Stout Riley** Nance, Pato & Stout, LLC PO Box 772 1010 Paisano Drive Socorro, NM 87801 575-838-0911 866-808-1165 (fax) kathy@npslawfirm.com

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF CHANGE TO INACTIVE STATUS

Effective July 31, 2018: Julianna Koob PO Box 26952 Albuquerque, NM 87125 505-920-6002 juliannakoob@gmail.com

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF REINSTATEMENT TO ACTIVE STATUS

Effective July 26, 2018: Lily C. Richardson 1984 E. Cornell Drive Tucson, AZ 85283 602-350-7160 lrichardson@lcrlawoffice.com

Effective July 27, 2018: **Paul Phillip Strange** 2594 Lower Lando Lane Park City, UT 84098 415-370-1100 strange@strangelaw.net

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Dated July 9, 2018: David Logan Thomas City of Santa Fe PO Box 909 2511 Camino Entrada (87507) Santa Fe, NM 87504 505-955-6052 505-955-5170 (fax) dlthomas@santafenm.gov Recent Rule-Making Activity As Updated by the Clerk of the New Mexico Supreme Court

Joey D. Moya, Chief Clerk New Mexico Supreme Court PO Box 848 • Santa Fe, NM 87504-0848 • (505) 827-4860

Effective August 22, 2018

Pending Proposed Rule Changes Open		I	1-104	Courtroom closure	07/01/2018
	for Comment:		1-140	Guardianship and conservatorship	
Comment Deadline Please see the summary of proposed rule amendments published in the August 8, 2018, issue of the Bar Bulletin. The actual text of the proposed rule amendments can be viewed on the Supreme Court's website at the address noted below. The comment dead- line for those proposed rule amendments is September 7, 2018.		1-141	proceedings; mandatory use forms Guardianship and conservatorship proceedings; determination of person entitled to notice of proceedings or access to court records Civil Forms	07/01/2018 s 07/01/2018	
RECENTLY APPROVED RULE CHANGES			4-992	Guardianship and conservatorship inf	ormation
	SINCE RELEASE OF 2018 NMRA:			sheet; petition	07/01/2018
			4-993	Order identifying persons entitled to	notice
	Effectiv	e Date		and access to court records	07/01/2018
Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts			4-994	Order to secure or waive bond	07/01/2018
1-003.2	Commencement of action; guardianship and		4-995	Conservator's notice of bonding	07/01/2018
	conservatorship information sheet 07/01	/2018	4-995.1	Corporate surety statement	07/01/2018
1-079	Public inspection and sealing of		4-996	Guardian's report	07/01/2018
	court records 07/01	/2018	4-997	Conservator's inventory	07/01/2018
1-079.1	Public inspection and sealing of court records;		4-998	Conservator's report	07/01/2018
guardianship and conservatorship proceedings		Rule	es of Criminal Procedure for the Distr	rict Courts	
	07/01	/2018	5-302A	Grand jury proceedings	04/23/2018
1-088.1	Peremptory excusal of a district judge; recusal;				
	procedure for exercising 03/01	/2018			

To view all pending proposed rule changes (comment period open or closed), visit the New Mexico Supreme Court's website at http://nmsupremecourt.nmcourts.gov. To view recently approved rule changes, visit the New Mexico Compilation Commission's website at http://www.nmcompcomm.us.

Advance Opinions_

Opinion Number: 2018-NMCA-045 No. A-1-CA-35545 (filed May 15, 2018) STATE OF NEW MEXICO, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. WILBUR M. STEJSKAL, Defendant-Appellant. APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF CURRY COUNTY Fred T. Van Soelen, District Judge

From the New Mexico Court of Appeals

HECTOR H. BALDERAS, Attorney General Santa Fe, New Mexico LAURIE BLEVINS, Assistant Attorney General Albuquerque, New Mexico for Appellee ROBERT E. TANGORA ROBERT E. TANGORA, LLC Santa Fe, New Mexico for Appellant

Opinion

Henry M. Bohnhoff, Judge

{1} Defendant Wilbur M. Stejskal (Defendant) appeals an amended judgment and sentence entered two years after the entry of his original judgment and sentence. By changing the word "concurrent" to the word "consecutive," the amended judgment has the practical effect of increasing Defendant's term of incarceration from nine years to ten years. On appeal, Defendant asserts that, pursuant to Rule 5-801 NMRA and State v. Torres, 2012-NMCA-026, 272 P.3d 689, the district court lacked jurisdiction to amend or modify the original sentence. The State, on the other hand, argues that the amended judgment in this case did not involve a "modification of sentence" for purposes of Rule 5-801 and Torres; instead, the amended judgment merely corrected a clerical mistake as permitted by Rule 5-113(B) NMRA. We conclude that Rule 5-113 authorized the district court to enter the amended judgment and therefore affirm.

BACKGROUND

{2} Defendant's convictions are based upon a plea agreement. Pursuant to the terms of that agreement, Defendant pled

no contest to two separate crimes, one of which would result in a nine-year sentence and the other of which would result in a three-year sentence with two years unconditionally suspended. The agreement recited that the sentences for both convictions would "run consecutively for a total of ten (10) years in the [d]epartment of [c]orrections."

{3} At a plea hearing, the district court reviewed the terms of the plea agreement with Defendant on the record and accepted Defendant's plea. At various points during that hearing, the parties and court each acknowledged that the plea agreement called for a ten-year period of incarceration. At a subsequent sentencing hearing, the district court pronounced sentence, explaining that:

pursuant to the plea agreement on count one, you are hereby sentenced to nine years in the department of corrections [and on] count two, three years in the department of corrections with two years unconditionally suspended, running consecutively for a total of ten years in the department of corrections, [and] running concurrently with any time that you are currently facing on probation or parole.

{4} Thus, based upon the record below, the parties and their counsel understood that the plea agreement called for consecutive sentences that would result in ten years of incarceration and also that Defendant was, in fact, being sentenced to a ten-year term of incarceration. Nonetheless, the written judgment and sentence that was then entered recited that the sentences for the two crimes would run concurrently, with the result that Defendant effectively was sentenced to nine years of incarceration. {5} Two years later, while reviewing Defendant's file, the district court noticed that the written judgment provided for the sentences to run concurrently instead of consecutively. The court scheduled a presentment hearing sua sponte. At that hearing, the court proposed to enter an amended judgment to correct the error. Counsel for Defendant argued that the district court was without jurisdiction to do so, relying upon Rule 5-801(A) and Torres. The court, however, found that it had authority under Rule 5-113 to correct the error in the judgment, and accordingly, entered the amended judgment as proposed.

DISCUSSION

{6} Rule 5-801 was amended in 2014 by Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-014, effective for all cases filed on or after December 31, 2014. The version in effect on March 28, 2013, when the case at bar was filed, provided in pertinent part as follows:

A. **Correction of sentence.** The court may correct an illegal sentence at any time pursuant to Rule 5-802 NMRA and may correct a sentence imposed in an illegal manner within the time provided by this rule for the reduction of sentence.

B. **Modification of sentence.** A motion to reduce a sentence may be filed within ninety (90) days after the sentence is imposed[.]

Rule 5-802 addresses the procedure to be followed for petitioning for a writ of habeas corpus to determine inter alia that a "sentence [is] illegal or in excess of the maximum authorized by law or is otherwise subject to collateral attack." Rule 5-113(B) provides that, "[c]lerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors in the record arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time and after such notice, if any, as the court orders." {7} Defendant contends that "under [Rule 5-801(B)], the district court cannot modify

Advance Opinions.

a sentence after ninety (90) days.... Any modification beyond the proscribed time period is outside the district court's jurisdiction." Citing *Torres*, Defendant urges that the district court therefore lacked jurisdiction to amend his sentence two years after its original entry. Defendant further argues that changing the two sentences to run consecutively as opposed to concurrently was not a clerical error that could be corrected pursuant to Rule 5-113.

{8} Defendant's trial counsel preserved the error by contesting the district court's authority to amend the sentence during the presentment hearing. We review a district court's application of Supreme Court rules of procedure de novo. *State v. Miller*, 2008-NMCA-048, **9** 11, 143 N.M. 777, 182 P.3d 158.

A. Rule 5-801

{9} The version of Rule 5-801 in effect in 2013 permitted the district court to correct an illegal sentence at any time through a habeas corpus proceeding and correct a sentence imposed in an illegal manner within 90 days after the sentence is imposed. As the district court noted in its letter ruling, Rule 5-801(A) was not applicable to Defendant's original sentence, because it was "not illegal in any way." The State does not contend to the contrary. Rather, the State defends the amended sentence on the grounds that the district court properly had authority under Rule 5-113(B) to correct a clerical error in the judgment.1

{10} Torres does not affect the applicability of Rule 5-113 to the amendment of Defendant's sentence. In that case, this Court addressed the jurisdiction of district courts to amend judgments pursuant to Rule 5-801. Torres, 2012-NMCA-026, ¶ 11. The defendant had been sentenced as a habitual offender for the crime of escape from prison in a manner that allowed his newly imposed sentences to run concurrently with his preexisting sentences. Id. ¶ 3. As a result, the sentences imposed ran afoul of NMSA 1978, Section 31-18-17(C) (amended 2003) and NMSA 1978, Section 31-18-21(A) (1977). Torres, 2012-NMCA-026, ¶¶ 9-10; See also State v. Davis, 2003-NMSC-022, 9 15, 134 N.M. 172, 74 P.3d 1064 (holding that sentences imposed for crimes committed while an inmate must run consecutive to all combined existing sentences); State v.

Mayberry, 1982-NMCA-061, 99 17-18, 97 N.M. 760, 643 P.2d 629 (holding that habitual offender enhancements cannot be served concurrently with their underlying basic sentences). Eighteen years later, as part of an audit conducted by the Department of Corrections, the illegality of Torres' sentences was discovered and the district court ultimately granted the state's motion filed under Rule 5-801 to amend the judgment to extend the term of incarceration by eight years. Torres, 2012-NMCA-026, ¶¶ 1, 5. On appeal, this Court reversed. After examining the history of Rule 5-801, we concluded that a district court's jurisdiction under the pre-2014 version of Rule 5-801(A) to correct an illegal sentence was strictly limited to habeas corpus proceedings. Torres, 2012-NMCA-026, ¶ 27. Thus, the State could seek modification of a sentence pursuant to Rule 5-801 only to correct one imposed in an illegal manner and only within the 90-day period following original entry.

B. Rule 5-113

{11} As stated above, Rule 5-113(B) authorizes a district court at any time to correct "[c]lerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors in the record arising from oversight or omission[.]" In State v. Ross, 1983-NMCA-065, ¶¶ 16-18, 100 N.M. 48, 665 P.2d 310, this Court characterized as a clerical error, and subject to correction under Rule 5-113(B), a citation in a judgment to the statute that defines the crime of burglary of a dwelling house as opposed to the statute that defines the crime of breaking and entering. In Ross, it was clear from the record not only that the defendant had been convicted of breaking and entering but that the district court had intended to sentence him for that crime. However, we have not considered whether the erroneous imposition of sentences to run consecutively versus concurrently in a judgment can qualify as a clerical error or otherwise fall within the parameters of Rule 5-113(B).

{12} When interpreting a court rule, a court looks to the same rules of construction as if it were interpreting a statute. Our function is to fulfill the intent of the rule. In doing so, we will "give effect to the plain meaning of the rule if its language is clear and unambiguous." *State v. Montoya*, 2011-NMCA-009, ¶ 8, 149 N.M. 242, 247

P.3d 1127 (alteration, internal quotation marks, and citation omitted); *see also Del-fino v. Griffo*, 2011-NMSC-015, ¶ 12, 150 N.M. 97, 257 P.3d 917 (recognizing that in determining the Legislature's intent, the appellate courts will look first to the plain language of the statute and give its words their ordinary meaning).

{13} Webster's Third New International Dictionary 421 (unabr. ed. 1986) defines "clerical error" as "an error made in copying or writing." Similarly, Black's Law Dictionary 489 (9th ed. 2010) defines "clerical error" as "[a]n error resulting from a minor mistake or inadvertence, esp[ecially] in writing or copying something on the record, and not from judicial reasoning or determination." Here, it is clear from the plea agreement as well as the colloquy at the plea hearing and the original sentencing hearing that the district court and the parties understood and intended that Defendant would be sentenced to two consecutive sentences that would run for a total of ten years. We assume the insertion of the word "concurrent" as opposed to "consecutive" in the written sentence and judgment was made by the district judge's clerical assistant during the course of preparing the latter document. In any event, it was an error in copying or writing, i.e., a clerical error.

{14} The similarly worded Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 36 has been given a consistent construction. Rule 36 provides: "After giving any notice it considers appropriate, the court may at any time correct a clerical error in a judgment, order, or other part of the record, or correct an error in the record arising from oversight or omission." Fed. R. Crim. P. 36. Within the meaning of Rule 36, a clerical error "must not be one of judgment or even of misidentification, but merely of recitation, of the sort that a clerk or amanuensis might commit, mechanical in nature." United States v. Guevremont, 829 F.2d 423, 426 (3d Cir. 1987)(internal quotation marks & citation omitted). "Because a defendant has a constitutional right to be present when he is sentenced, if there is a variance between the oral pronouncement of sentence and the written judgment of conviction, the oral sentence generally controls." United States v. DeMartino, 112 F.3d 75, 78 (2d Cir. 1997) (citation omitted). Thus, while Rule 36 does not authorize a court to modify the

¹The version of Rule 5-801(B) in effect in 2013 permitted the district court only to reduce a sentence and, therefore, that provision also has no application to the amendment of sentence.

Advance Opinions.

written judgment to effectuate an intention that the court did not express in its oral sentence, DeMartino, 112 F.3d at 79, the court may amend a sentence to accurately provide, in accordance with its original intention and oral pronouncement of sentence, that multiple sentences will run consecutively as opposed to concurrently. See, e.g., United States v. Becker, 36 F.3d 708, 710 (7th Cir. 1994); United States v. McAfee, 832 F.2d 944, 946 (5th Cir. 1987), superseded by statute on other grounds as stated in United States v. Walls, 163 F.3d 146, 147 n.1 (2d Cir. 1998); Kennedy v. Reid, 249 F.2d 492, 492 (D.C. Cir. 1957). C. Defendant's Remaining Argument {15} Citing State v. Diaz, 1983-NMSC-090, ¶ 4, 100 N.M. 524, 673 P.2d 501, Defendant also contends that the district court lacked authority to amend the sentence because he had an "expectation of finality" in the original sentence and the district court amended it after he had served two years of it. Diaz does not help

Defendant's cause. While it holds that an oral ruling, including an oral pronouncement of sentence, is not a final judgment and can be changed at any time before entry of final judgment, id. 9 6, it does not address at all, much less hold, that a clerical error in a final judgment cannot be corrected pursuant to Rule 5-113(B). Further, to the extent Defendant implicitly is raising a double jeopardy challenge, it, too, is unsupported. Double jeopardy rights are not compromised where the defendant has no reasonable expectation of finality in his or her sentence. March v. State, 1989-NMSC-065, § 5, 109 N.M. 110, 782 P.2d 82.; See State v. Cheadle, 1987-NMSC-100, ¶ 12, 106 N.M. 391, 744 P.2d 166.

CONCLUSION

(16) The record in this case establishes that the Defendant entered his plea of no contest pursuant to an agreement by which he would receive two consecutive sentences resulting in a total of ten years

of incarceration. The parties and court all understood that agreement and accurately recited its sentencing terms at various points in the proceedings. The district court's pronouncement of sentence in open court was consistent with both written agreement and the parties' unanimously expressed understanding. We therefore conclude that the written judgment and sentence imposing concurrent sentences resulting in a total of nine years of incarceration was the product of clerical error, which the district court could correct pursuant to Rule 5-113(B). Accordingly, the district court's amended judgment and sentence imposing consecutive sentences is affirmed.

{17} IT IS SO ORDERED.HENRY M. BOHNHOFF, Judge

WE CONCUR:

LINDA M. VANZI, Chief Judge STEPHEN G. FRENCH, Judge Certiorari Denied, July 11, 2018, No. S-1-SC-37104

From the New Mexico Court of Appeals

Opinion Number: 2018-NMCA-046

No. A-1-CA-36351 (filed June 4, 2018)

ANITA REINA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v LIN TELEVISION CORPORATION, d/b/a KRQE and LARRY BARKER, Defendants-Appellants.

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY

Denise Barela Shepherd, District Judge

STEPHEN F. LAWLESS STEPHEN F. LAWLESS, P.A. Albuquerque, New Mexico for Appellee

MARTIN R. ESOUIVEL **ESQUIVEL & HOWINGTON, LLC** Albuquerque, New Mexico for Appellants

Opinion

Stephen G. French, Judge

{1} This interlocutory appeal requires us to determine whether a hearing officer employed by the City of Albuquerque (the City) is a public official and therefore required to prove that Defendants acted with actual malice in broadcasting an allegedly defamatory story about her. We conclude that Plaintiff is a public official and reverse the order of the district court finding that she is a private figure plaintiff.

BACKGROUND

Factual Background

{2} Plaintiff worked exclusively as an administrative hearing officer for the Office of Administrative Hearings for the City for over one year. She then sought and acquired a second job, an action that required prior written approval from the City's human resources department per the City personnel code. Plaintiff began serving as a tribal judge for the San Felipe tribal court before receiving written approval from the City's human resources department, though by then her immediate supervisor in the Office of Administrative Hearings approved her request in a form titled "Request for Permission to Engage in Employment Outside the City of Albuquerque."

{3} Eventually, Defendant Larry Barker, an investigative reporter for KRQE News 13, began researching Plaintiff's employment arrangements with the City and the San Felipe tribal court. Barker reviewed memos that Plaintiff submitted to the City and various leave slips tracking her schedule with the tribal court and her absences from the City, and he interviewed Plaintiff's supervisor at the City, along with the chief administrative officer of the City. As a consequence of Barker's investigation, the City began its own formal investigation, for which it retained Robert Caswell Investigations. That investigation found that Plaintiff was employed with the City Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., for a total of forty hours per week and that she possibly defrauded the City by working at times as a tribal judge at the Pueblo of San Felipe during those hours. The investigation findings also noted that Plaintiff used her City-issued computer to correspond with the San Felipe Pueblo, that she admitted working longer than her scheduled hours at San Felipe, and that she claimed to have "made up" the time with the City, but she lacked documentation showing as much.

{4} Plaintiff did not contest the findings of the investigator, and she voluntarily resigned her employment with the City. Two days after her resignation, KRQE News

13 broadcasted a report about Plaintiff, in which Plaintiff was purportedly referred to as "The Cheating Judge" in reference to her work as a tribal judge during employment hours with the City. This and other statements in the report regarding her performance of her work as a hearing officer form the basis of Plaintiff's defamation claim.

Procedural Background

{5} Plaintiff sued Defendants for defamation. Defendants moved for summary judgment, claiming (1) Plaintiff was a public official; (2) the matter reported was true; and (3) Plaintiff could not meet her burden of proof, which required her to establish that Defendants acted with actual malice. The district court concluded that Plaintiff was not a public official as a matter of law, but stated that it lacked guidance in our caselaw for the determination. After further discovery, Defendants asked that the district court certify its order determining that Plaintiff was not a public official for interlocutory review. The district court did so, and upon Defendant's application, we granted interlocutory review and assigned the matter to the general calendar. Defendants argue that the district court erred in determining that Plaintiff is not a public official. DISCUSSION

{6} If Plaintiff—a hearing officer with the City—is a public official, then in order to prevail in a defamation cause of action, she must meet the actual malice standard of New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254, 279-80 (1964). "Whether a plaintiff is a public official is a question of law that we review de novo." Young v. Wilham, 2017-NMCA-087, 9 10, 406 P.3d 988, cert. denied, ____-NMCERT-___, (S-1-SC-36497, Aug. 3, 2017); Marchiondo v. Brown, 1982-NMSC-076, ¶ 24, 98 N.M. 394, 649 P.2d 462.

{7} "Ascertaining the status of [a] plaintiff is necessary since it dictates the standard of proof applicable in the law suit [sic]." Coronado Credit Union v. KOAT Television, Inc., 1982-NMCA-176, ¶ 33, 99 N.M. 233, 656 P.2d 896. Public official and public figure plaintiffs must prove that the defendant acted with actual malice in publishing a defamatory statement, Sullivan, 376 U.S. at 279-80, but a private figure plaintiff need only prove that the defendant acted negligently. Newberry v. Allied Stores, Inc., 1989-NMSC-024, § 21, 108 N.M. 424, 773 P.2d 1231. The heavier burden on public official and public figure plaintiffs reflects "a profound national commitment to the

Advance Opinions_

principle that debate on public issues should be uninhibited, robust, and wideopen, and that it may well include vehement, caustic, and sometimes unpleasantly sharp attacks on government and public officials." Sullivan, 376 U.S. at 270. Specifically, public official and public figure plaintiffs must prove that the defendant acted in reckless disregard of the truth and with knowledge of falsity. Newberry, 1989-NMSC-024, ¶ 17; see Furgason v. Clausen, 1989-NMCA-084, ¶ 26, 109 N.M. 331, 785 P.2d 242 ("[W]here a plaintiff in a defamation action is either a public official or a public figure, or where an allegedly defamatory statement involved a matter of public concern, it is incumbent upon the plaintiff to prove that the defendant acted with actual malice[.]" (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)).

{8} In *Furgason*, we acknowledged that the terms "public figures" and "public officials" have not been defined, but we have adopted tests for determining whether a person is to be considered one. 1989-NMCA-084, ¶ 29 (internal quotation marks omitted). The first category of plaintiffs that must prove actual malice has been generally stated to include those who, "by reason of the notoriety of their achievements or the vigor and success with which they seek the public's attention, are properly classed as public figures." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). There are two types of public figures, "those who occupy positions of such persuasive power and influence that they are deemed public figures for all purposes, and limited public figures, consisting of those who have thrust themselves to the forefront of particular public controversy in order to influence the resolution of the issues involved." Id. (alteration omitted).

{9} Regarding the latter category, the test for whether a given plaintiff is a public official turns on the degree of the person's responsibility for and control over government affairs. Id. 9 35. "It is clear that the 'public official' designation applies at the very least to those among the hierarchy of government employees who have, or appear to the public to have, substantial responsibility for or control over the conduct of governmental affairs." Id. (omission, internal quotation marks, and citation omitted). Public officials occupy positions in government that have "such apparent importance that the public has an independent interest in the qualifications and performance of the person who holds it," one that is beyond the public's general interest in the qualifications and performance of all government employees. *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). The public has a special interest in persons "who are in a position significantly to influence the resolution of . . . [public] issues." *Rosenblatt v. Baer*, 383 U.S. 75, 85 (1966).

{10} The district court noted that Plaintiff's position as a hearing officer "is distinguishable from positions that clearly are classified as a 'public official,' such as an elected city commissioner or a district court judge[,]" the positions held by the plaintiffs in two federal cases, Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254, and Garrison v. State of Louisiana, 379 U.S. 64 (1964). While that may be true, it does not eliminate the possibility that a hearing officer-hired to resolve disputes in matters of public concern in proceedings that are at the very least quasi-judicial—is also a public official. To determine whether this is the case, we apply the test for public official status articulated in Rosenblatt and adopted in *Furgason*, which we repeat in full below:

It is clear that the "public official" designation applies at the very least to those among the hierarchy of government employees who have, or appear to the public to have, substantial responsibility for or control over the conduct of governmental affairs. Where a position in government has such apparent importance that the public has an independent interest in the qualifications and performance of the person who holds it, beyond the general public interest in the qualifications and performance of all government employees, . . . the

[actual] malice standards apply. *Furgason*, 1989-NMCA-084, ¶ 35 (omissions omitted) (quoting *Rosenblatt*, 383 U.S. at 85-86). Based on the application of this test, we reverse the order of the district court determining that Plaintiff was not a public official.

[11] On appeal, Defendants argue that only one New Mexico case squarely addresses the public official status of Plaintiff, citing *Ammerman v. Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc.*, 1977-NMCA-127, 91 N.M. 250, 572 P.2d 1258, and that the plaintiff in that case was employed by the police department, unlike the situation in this case. *Id.* **9** 1. Defendants then argue that the quasi-judicial character of Plaintiff's position as a hearing officer and the work

performed by a hearing officer qualify Plaintiff as a public official.

{12} We describe with some detail the work that Plaintiff performed as a hearing officer and agree with Defendants: Plaintiff is a public official for purposes of her defamation suit because she possessed substantial responsibility for and control over governmental affairs.

{13} Plaintiff was an employee of the City. She was appointed as an administrative hearing officer under Chapter 2, Article 7 of the City's ordinance in June 2009. Albuquerque, N.M., City Ordinance ch. 3, art. VII, § 2-7-8-5(B) (2009, amended 2012) At the time of her hiring, Section 2-7-8-5(B) of the ordinance stated that hearing officers are to "be appointed by the Presiding Judge of the Civil Division of the Second Judicial [District] Court." Plaintiff's interview for the hearing officer position took place before three district court judges.

{14} The creation of the position that Plaintiff occupied sheds further light on its public nature. Section 2-7-8-2 provides context, explaining that the City established the Independent Office of Hearings, a division of the City that independently conducts the hearings that the City requires, because the use of city employees as hearing officers "has created a perception that the independence of hearing officers has been or can be compromised." Section 2-7-8-2(D). "To ensure confidence in the hearing officer process it has become necessary to create an Independent Office of Hearings to protect employees acting as hearing officers from actual or perceived influence from the city's administration." Section 2-7-8-2(E).

{15} The role that a hearing officer plays in the administration of city ordinances and the adjudication of disputes over city ordinances, which are governmental affairs, carries with it such weight and responsibility that the city council undertook, by its creation of the Independent Office of Hearings, specific measures to ensure "that any city hearing officer act[s] in a fair and impartial manner" and is "perceived as acting in a fair and impartial manner." Section 2-7-8-2(C). The parties present during a hearing are members of the public, and it is the public's perception of hearing officers that led the city council to establish the Independent Office of Hearings. We find the establishment of the Independent Office of Hearings indicative of the public's special interest in the qualifications and performance

Advance Opinions

of hearing officers, one that exceeds the interest it has in the qualifications and performance of any government employee given the City's heightened sensitivity to public perception. See Furgason, 1989-NMCA-084, 9 35 (explaining that public officials occupy positions in government that have "such apparent importance that the public has an independent interest in the qualifications and performance of the person who holds it," one that is beyond the public's general interest "in the qualifications and performance of all government employees" (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)).

{16} Plaintiff's performance in her role as a hearing officer was extensive in both the scope of her authority and in the subject matter to which her authority extended. For example, hearing officers are required to determine whether the City could prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a member of the public violated a city ordinance. They also occasionally rule on the constitutionality of city ordinances. They preside over hearings and swear in witnesses as needed. Hearing officers are responsible for conducting all of the City's hearings concerning its ordinances, which include land use, zoning, liquor licenses, and personnel hearings. Moreover, determinations of a hearing officer are subject to judicial review, and like most any court ruling, can be appealed by the non-prevailing party.

{17} Functionally, Plaintiff was the decision making authority in semi-formal, quasi-judicial proceedings that involved the application of law, including city ordinances, to the conduct of members of the public. And the subject matter of the ordinances varied. Plaintiff said that she handled vehicle seizure issues and carport zoning issues, and she was also asked "to do work on proposal language on [a] new ordinance," and "to do work on two seizure provisions and asked to do some firearms cases[.]" Plaintiff said that she initially presided over hearings addressing the use of red light cameras, but later she accepted more work, including zoning hearings, when her red light docket slowed. She handled as many as 35-60 zoning hearings in one day, which dealt with "proposed brick walls, special variance exceptions, [and] parking space variances, etc." She described the zoning hearings as "a completely different type of hearing." All of her decisions had to be written. Once each case closed, she made a decision based on the zoning codes and prepared written findings and conditions of approval. Plaintiff also said that up to sixty members of the public attended some of these hearings. The number of hearings, the breadth of the subject matter of the hearings, and the attendance of and participation by the public in these hearings bolsters the importance of Plaintiff's position such that the public has an interest in her qualifications and performance of the work, and evinces Plaintiff's responsibility for and control over the conduct of governmental affairs. **{18}** Finally, we briefly address Plaintiff's arguments that (1) hearing officers cannot be considered public officials because they are unelected, and (2) one of our statutes defines " 'public official' as 'a person elected to an office in an election covered by the Campaign Reporting Act[.]' " NMSA 1978, § 1-19-26(P) (2015) Running for office, hiring a campaign manager, or

"putting yourself in the public eye to let people know what you think[]" are not conditions of public official status in the context of defamation lawsuits. Whether the government employee plaintiff was a politician or subject to election may suffice to conclude that the plaintiff is a public official, but it is not necessary to reach that conclusion. The test for public official status hinges on whether the defamation plaintiff has "substantial responsibility for or control over the conduct of governmental affairs." Furgason, 1989-NMCA-084, ¶ 35 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Such authority at times exists in the absence of elections and politics. Additionally, we do not look to state statutes to inform our understanding of who constitutes a public official. See Rosenblatt, 383 U.S. at 84 (rejecting the suggestion that the determination of public official status should be answered by reference to state law standards because states "have developed definitions of 'public official' for local administrative purposes, not the purposes of a national constitutional protection").

CONCLUSION

{19} We reverse the order of the district court concluding that Plaintiff is a private figure and hold that she is a public official, and we remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

{20} IT IS SO ORDERED. **STEPHEN G. FRENCH, Judge**

WE CONCUR: J. MILES HANISEE, Judge EMIL J. KIEHNE, Judge



Get Real

Why try out your case or witness in a hotel conference room?

TrialMetrix, the local leader in mock trials and focus groups, lets you put on your case in a courtroom setting

Our mock courtroom off Osuna south of Journal Center features:

- Mock jurors selected to meet your desired demographics
- Multi-camera courtroom audio and video capability
- Jury room audio and video capabilities to capture deliberations
- An experienced defense attorney (upon request)
- A retired judge to offer a performance critique (upon request)

Call Russ Kauzlaric at (505) 263-8425

TRIALMETRIX

trialmetrixnm.com

Applied EHSD, LLC Training and Consulting

- Expert Witness services in Safetyrelated Regulatory Issues, includes issues of codes and standards of care.
- Workplace Safety Standards and Regulation in construction, Oilfield, Manufacturing,
- Government, (Municipal, State and Federal), Transportation, Healthcare Safety.
- Accident investigation, Root Cause Analysis, Workers' Compensation Safety Expert,
- Compensation safety Expert, Critical Incident Analysis.
 OSHA Citation analysis and interpretation. Extensive OSHA
- interpretation. Extensive OSHA standards application knowledge and experience.

Michael Cummings President, Owner 505-300-4773 (office) 505-582- 9571 (cell) michaelcummingsehs@gmail.com AppliedEHSD.com

—MEDIATION— John B. Pound



45 years experience trying cases throughout New Mexico, representing plaintiffs and defendants

- American College of Trial Lawyers
- American Board of Trial Advocates
- Will mediate cases anywhere in New Mexico— no charge for travel time

505 Don Gaspar, Santa Fe 505-983-8060 jbpsfnm@gmail.com



BRUCEBROSS

MEDIATION

- Estate & Trust Disputes
- Financial Elder Abuse
- Expert Witness Services

BruceSRossMediation.com (818) 334-9627

Meditation, Prayer, & the Practice of Law

Join Kerry Morris for a 6 week class exploring the integration of the western spiritual practices of meditation and prayer with the practice of law.

Thursdays, 12pm to 1pm • September 20 through October 25 Space is limited to 10 participants The State Bar of New Mexico, 5121 Masthead NE, Albuquerque, NM

To register or for more information contact Kerry Morris: kmorris@abqlawclinic.com • (505) 842-1362

This program is sponsored by Kerry Morris, Esq. Use of space at the Bar Center is not an endorsement of the program by the State Bar of New Mexico.



Classified

Positions

Lawyer Position

Guebert Bruckner Gentile P.C. seeks an attorney with up to five years' experience and the desire to work in tort and insurance litigation. If interested, please send resume and recent writing sample to: Hiring Partner, Guebert Bruckner Gentile P.C., P.O. Box 93880, Albuquerque, NM 87199-3880. All replies are kept confidential. No telephone calls please.

Multiple Trial Attorney Positions Available in the Albuquerque Area

The Thirteenth Judicial District Attorney's Office is seeking entry level as well as experienced trial attorneys. Positions available in Sandoval, Valencia, and Cibola Counties, where you will enjoy the convenience of working near a metropolitan area while gaining valuable trial experience in a smaller office, which provides the opportunity to advance more quickly than is afforded in larger offices. Salary commensurate with experience. Contact Krissy Saavedra ksaavedra@da.state.nm.us or 505-771-7400 for an application. Apply as soon as possible. These positions will fill up fast!

Divorce Lawyers – Incredible Opportunity w/ New Mexico Legal Group

New Mexico Legal Group, a cutting edge divorce and family law practice is adding one more divorce and family law attorney to its existing team (David Crum, Cynthia Payne, Twila Larkin, Bob Matteucci, Kim Padilla and Amy Bailey). We are looking for one super cool lawyer to join us in our mission. Why is this an incredible opportunity? You will build the very culture and policies you want to work under; You will have access to cutting edge marketing and practice management resources; You will make more money yet work less than your contemporaries; You will deliver outstanding services to your clients; You will have FUN! (at least as much fun as a divorce attorney can possibly have). This position is best filled by an attorney who wants to help build something extraordinary. This will be a drama free environment filled with other team members who want to experience something other than your run of the mill divorce firm. Interested candidates: send whatever form of contact you think is appropriate, explaining why you are drawn to this position and how you can be an asset to the team, to Dcrum@NewMexicoLegalGroup. com. All inquiries are completely confidential. We look forward to hearing from you!

Associate Attorney – AV Rated Estate Planning Firm

Albuquerque Law Firm seeks an attorney who is licensed and in good standing with 3-5 years of experience preferably in estate planning, probate law and transactional law. Please Email resume to resume@kcleachlaw.com.

Assistant Attorney General

The Office of the New Mexico Attorney General is recruiting for an Assistant Attorney General position in the Special Prosecutions in Criminal Affairs. The job posting and further details are available at www.nmag. gov/human-resources.aspx.

Associate Attorney

Terry & deGraauw P.C., a divorce and family law firm, is seeking a qualified associate attorney with strong work ethic, compassion and commitment to teamwork. One to three years of experience preferred but not required. Benefits offered include competitive salary, as well as health, dental, vision and disability insurance, 401(k) plan and performance-based bonuses. Replies are confidential. Please email resume to Jennifer deGraauw at jmd@tdgfamilylaw.com.

Program Director Regulatory Programs Department

The State Bar of New Mexico seeks a fulltime Program Director for its Regulatory Programs Department. The Regulatory Programs Department includes the MCLE, IOLTA, and Bridge the Gap Mentorship Programs, along with other regulatory functions. The successful applicant must be able to work as part of a busy team and have experience in project management, staff management, financial management, and marketing. Excellent customer service and computer skills are also required. Minimum education required is a Bachelor's degree. Juris Doctor or other advanced degree preferred. This position offers great career potential. Beginning salary \$50,000 to \$55,000 plus an excellent benefits package. Please email cover letter and resume to hr@nmbar.org, EOE.

Deputy City Attorney

City of Las Cruces - Deputy City Attorney. Closing date: September 10, 2018. Salary: \$78,142.05 -- \$117,213.07 annually. Fulltime regular, exempt position that plans, coordinates, and manages operations, functions, activities, staff and legal issues in the City Attorney's Office to ensure compliance with all applicable laws, policies, and procedures. Minimum requirements: Juris Doctor Degree AND seven (7) years of experience in a civil and criminal legal practice; at least one (1) year of experience in municipal finance, land use, and public labor law is preferred. Member of the New Mexico State Bar Association, licensed to practice law in the state of New Mexico; active with all New Mexico Bar annual requirements. Valid driver's license may be required or preferred. Visit website http://agency. governmentjobs.com/lascruces/default.cfm for further information, job posting, requirements and online application process.

Assistant City Attorney

The City of Albuquerque Legal Department is hiring an Assistant City Attorney to provide legal services to the City's Department of Municipal Development ("DMD"). The area of focus includes, but is not limited to: contract drafting, analysis, and negotiations; regulatory law; procurement; general commercial transaction issues; intergovernmental agreements; dispute resolution; and civil litigation. Attention to detail and strong writing skills are essential. Five (5)+ years' experience is preferred and must be an active member of the State Bar of New Mexico, in good standing. Please submit resume and writing sample to attention of "Legal Department DMD Assistant City Attorney Application" c/o Angela M. Aragon, Executive Assistant/HR Coordinator; P.O. Box 2248, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or amaragon@cabq.gov.

Litigation Attorney

With 42 offices and over 1,200 attorneys, Lewis Brisbois is one of the largest and most prestigious law firms in the nation. Our Albuquerque office is seeking associates with a minimum of five years of litigation defense experience handling litigation matters. Candidates must have credentials from ABA approved law school, be licensed by the New Mexico state bar, and have excellent writing skills. Duties include but are not limited to independently managing a litigation caseload from beginning to end, communicating with clients and providing timely reporting, appearing at depositions and various court appearances and working closely with other attorneys and Partners on matters. Please submit your resume along with a cover letter and two writing samples to phxrecruiter@lewisbrisbois.com All resumes will remain confidential. LBBS does not accept referrals from employment businesses and/or employment agencies with respect to the vacancies posted on this site. All employment businesses/agencies are required to contact LBBS's human resources department to obtain prior written authorization before referring any candidates to LBBS. The obtaining of prior written authorization is a condition precedent to any agreement (verbal or written) between the employment business/ agency and LBBS. In the absence of such written authorization being obtained any actions undertaken by the employment business/agency shall be deemed to have been performed without the consent or contractual agreement of LBBS. LBBS shall therefore not be liable for any fees arising from such actions or any fees arising from any referrals by employment businesses/agencies in respect of the vacancies posted on this site.

Senior Associate Attorney

AV Preeminent Rated litigation law firm in El Paso, Texas with significant practice in Texas and New Mexico seeks a Senior Associate attorney with five or more years of experience in litigation and/or healthcare law and strong research and writing skills. Prefer someone with first chair experience. The position requires detail-oriented and self-motivated participation in all stages of medical malpractice and other civil litigation matters. Must be licensed in Texas and New Mexico. Introductory letter, resume, and writing sample required. Salary is dependent upon experience. Contact us via email at: lawfirmmgt@gmail.com

Trial Attorney and Senior Trial Attorney

The Third Judicial District Attorney's Office in Las Cruces is looking for: Trial Attorney: Requirements: Licensed attorney in New Mexico, plus a minimum of two (2) years as a practicing attorney, or one (1) year as a prosecuting attorney. Salary Range: \$57,688-\$72,110; Senior Trial Attorney: Requirements: Licensed attorney to practice law in New Mexico plus a minimum of four (4) years as a practicing attorney in criminal law or three (3) years as a prosecuting attorney. Salary Range: \$63,743-\$79,679; Salary will be based upon experience and the District Attorney's Personnel and Compensation Plan. Submit Resume to Whitney Safranek, Human Resources Administrator at wsafranek@da.state.nm.us. Further description of this position is listed on our website http:// donaanacountyda.com/.

Contract Brief Writing

AV Rated Plaintiff's firm looking for an attorney with 5+ years experience to do brief writing on an hourly contract basis. Number of hours per week will vary. Must be familiar with all applicable State, Federal and Local Rules. If interested, please send inquiries along with fee schedule and writing sample to Office Manager, Post Office Box 25543, Albuquerque, NM 87125. All inquiries will be kept confidential.

Associate Attorney

Trenchard & Hoskins in Roswell, NM is seeking a New Mexico licensed associate attorney with experience in plaintiff litigation in our Roswell, NM office. Please send your cover letter, resume, writing sample and transcripts to royce.hoskins@gmail.com. All inquiries will be kept confidential.

Part-time Legal Assistant

Part-time Legal Assistant for insurance defense downtown law firm. 3+ years experience. Strong organizational skills and attention to detail necessary. Must be familiar with Outlook and Word. Hourly wage DOE, flexible hours. E-mail resume to: kayserk@ civerolo.com; fax resume to 505-764-6099; or, mail to Civerolo, Gralow & Hill, PA, P.O. Box 887, Albuquerque NM 87103.

Paralegal

Litigation Paralegal with minimum of 3- 5 years' experience, including current working knowledge of State and Federal District Court rules, online research, trial preparation, document control management, and familiar with use of electronic databases and related legal-use software technology. Seeking skilled, organized, and detail-oriented professional for established commercial civil litigation firm. Email resumes to e_info@ abrfirm.com or Fax to 505-764-8374.

Senior Program Coordinator

The State Bar of New Mexico seeks a full-time Senior Program Coordinator for its Regulatory Programs Department. The Regulatory Programs Department includes the MCLE, IOLTA, and Bridge the Gap Mentorship Programs. The successful applicant must be able to work as part of a team and have excellent project management, customer service and computer skills. Prior work experience in the legal environment is a plus. Degree (Bachelor's or Associate's) preferred. Compensation \$35,000 to \$40,000 plus an excellent benefits package. Please email cover letter and resume to hr@nmbar.org, EOE.

Paralegal - Incredible Opportunity w/ New Mexico Legal Group

New Mexico Legal Group, a cutting edge divorce and family law practice is looking for one more paralegal to join our team. Why is this an incredible opportunity? You will be involved in building the very culture and policies that you want to work under. We are offer great pay, health insurance, automatic 3% to your 401(k), vacation and generous PTO. And we deliver the highest quality representation to our clients. But most importantly, we have FUN! Obviously (we hope it's obvious), we are looking for candidates with significant substantive experience in divorce and family law. People who like drama free environments, who communicate well with clients, and who actually enjoy this type of work will move directly to the front of the line. Interested candidates should send a resume and cover letter explaining why you are perfect for this position to DCrum@New-MexicoLegalGroup.com.com The cover letter is the most important thing you will send, so be creative and let us know who you really are. We look forward to hearing from you!

Services

Board Certified Orthopedic Surgeon Board certified orthopedic surgeon available for case review, opinions, exams. Rates quoted per case. Owen C DeWitt, MD, odewitt@alumni.rice.edu

Office Space

2040 4th St., N.W.

Three large professional offices for rent at 4th and I-40, Albuquerque, NM. Lease includes on site tenant and client parking, two (2) conference rooms, security, kitchen and receptionist to greet clients and answer phone. Call or email Gerald Bischoff at 505-243-6721 and gbischof@dcbf.net.

Plaza500

Fully furnished, IT-enabled office space that can grow with your business. Visit our professional office suite located on the 5th floor of the prestigious Albuquerque Plaza office building at 201 Third Street NW. Contact Sandee at 505-999-1726.

Law Office In Historic Building

Fully-furnished downtown Santa Fe office with existing law firm. Restored National Register building around enclosed patio, 3 blocks from State and Federal Courthouses. Copier, fax, telephone system, conference room, high-speed internet. Please contact Chris Carlsen, (505) 986-1131.

Miscellaneous

Want To Purchase

Want to purchase minerals and other oil/ gas interests. Send details to: P.O. Box 13557, Denver, CO 80201

Official Publication of the State Bar of New Mexico

BAR BULLETIN

SUBMISSION DEADLINES

All advertising must be submitted via e-mail by 4 p.m. Wednesday, two weeks prior to publication (*Bulletin* publishes every Wednesday). Advertising will be accepted for publication in the *Bar Bulletin* in accordance with standards and ad rates set by the publisher and subject to the availability of space. No guarantees can be given as to advertising publication dates or placement although every effort will be made to comply with publication request. The publisher reserves the right to review and edit ads, to request that an ad be revised prior to publication or to reject any ad. **Cancellations must be received by 10 a.m. on Thursday, 13 days prior to publication.**

> For more advertising information, contact: Marcia C. Ulibarri at 505-797-6058 or email mulibarri@nmbar.org

For complex family law WALTHER BENNETT MAYO HONEYCUTT is HOW IT'S DONE







SARAH BENNETT

has been named Best Lawyers[®] 2019 Santa Fe Family Law LAWYER OF THE YEAR



123 E. Marcy Street, Suite 205, Santa Fe, NM 505.795.7117 | www.wbmhlaw.com

Stand Out from the Crowd Profile Your Firm or Business in the *Bar Bulletin*!

CLE Planner

MANEY / GORDON / ZELLER

2017

Your next class awaits you at the Center for Legal

Education

Disciplinary Board of th New Mexico Supreme C

AAA 50

Upgrade your marketing strategy and expose more than 8,000 members of the legal profession to your products, services, or start-up. Purchase an insert in the *Bar Bulletin*, the State Bar's weekly publication and take advantage of our loyal readership.

Use an insert to

- Announce products and services
- Deliver news to your stakeholders
- Educate the community about your passion
- Promote leadership and accomplishments
- And more the possibilities are endless!

Bar Bulletin Inserts include

- 4-page, full-color design and printing
- Centerfold placement
- Front cover announcement
- Expert marketing and design staff to help you get the most from your purchase



To take advantage of this opportunity, contact Account Executive Marcia Ulibarri at 505-797-6058. Ask about your member discount!